

Aspia Jannat

From: ROGERS, Andrew [REDACTED]
Sent: 11 January 2019 11:57
To: Planning Policy
Subject: Land East of Kenilworth Development - objection

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

Dear Sir/Madam,

RE: Land East of Kenilworth Development - objection

Whilst I understand that more homes are required in the UK, I do not think the major developments and huge numbers of houses planned for Kenilworth are appropriate given the facilities, schools, and already busy roads. There are numerous developments planned for Kenilworth over the next few years, and they are being looked at in isolation, rather than holistically as they should be. Consequently, the full effects of the developments are not being considered together. All the schools and medical centres in the town are full. During rush hour, all routes in and out of the town are heavily congested. Adding hundreds of new homes will result in many hundreds more cars, journeys, children (requiring schools) and people (requiring medical facilities).

I also object, in particular, to the proposal to situate the roundabout and entrance to the new estate at the junction of Stansfield Grove and Glasshouse Lane. This will adversely affect the lives of everyone in the small cul-de-sac of Stansfield Grove with air and noise pollution, as well as parking issues, cars turning in people's drives when they make a wrong turn, noise from lorry and bus brakes, as they slow for the roundabout, etc. Why does the roundabout/entrance have to be right outside people's homes? Why not place this junction further up Glasshouse Lane towards the Leyes Lane T junction where the houses are set back from the main road? There could be a simple T junction (rather than a roundabout) which would be screened by the large, mature trees which are already present and will therefore not spoil anyone's view, or cause as much noise/air pollution.

Regards,

Andrew Rogers