

5448

# PLAN

shape district



## Consultation on Proposed Modifications (2016) Response Form

For Official Only	
Person ID	
Rep ID	

Please use this form if you wish to support or object to the Proposed Modifications

This form has two parts:

- Part A – Personal Details
- Part B – Your Representations

If your comments relate to more than one proposed Modification you will need to complete a separate Part B of this form for each representation.

This form may be photocopied or alternatively extra forms can be obtained from the Council's offices or places where the Modifications have been made available (see the table below). You can also respond online using the Council's e Consultation System, visit: [www.warwickdc.gov.uk/newlocalplan](http://www.warwickdc.gov.uk/newlocalplan)

Please provide your contact details so that we can get in touch with you regarding your representation(s) during the examination period. Your comments (including contact details) cannot be treated as confidential because the Council is required to make them available for public inspection. If your address details change, please inform us in writing. You may withdraw your objection at any time by writing to Warwick District Council, address below.

All forms should be returned by 4.45pm on Friday 22 April 2016

To return this form, please deliver by hand or post to: **Development Policy Manager, Development Services, Warwick District Council, Riverside House, Milverton Hill, Leamington Spa, CV32 5QH** or email: [newlocalplan@warwickdc.gov.uk](mailto:newlocalplan@warwickdc.gov.uk)

### Where to see copies of the documents:

Copies of the proposed Modifications, updated Sustainability Appraisal and all supporting documents are available for inspection on the Council's web site at [www.warwickdc.gov.uk/newlocalplan](http://www.warwickdc.gov.uk/newlocalplan) and also at the following locations:

- Warwick District Council Offices, Riverside House, Milverton Hill, Royal Leamington Spa;
- Leamington Town Hall, Parade, Royal Leamington Spa
- Warwickshire Direct Whitnash, Whitnash Library, Franklin Road, Whitnash
- Leamington Spa Library, The Pump Rooms, Parade, Royal Leamington Spa
- Warwickshire Direct Warwick, Shire Hall, Market Square, Warwick
- Warwickshire Direct Kenilworth, Kenilworth Library, Smalley Place, Kenilworth
- Warwickshire Direct Lillington, Lillington Library, Valley Road, Royal Leamington Spa
- Brunswick Healthy Living Centre 98-100 Shrubland Street, Royal Leamington Spa
- Finham Community Library, Finham Green Rd, Finham, Coventry, CV3 6EP

<b>WDC PLANNING</b>
Ref
Officer
<b>22 APR 2016</b>
SCANNED
DC PD MA
GEN DIS

# Part A - Personal Details

## 1. Personal Details\*

## 2. Agent's Details (if applicable)

\* If an agent is appointed, please complete only the Title, Name and Organisation boxes below but complete the full contact details of the agent in section 2.

Title	Mr	
First Name	William	
Last Name	Blagburn	
Job Title (where relevant)		
Organisation (where relevant)		
Address Line 1		
Address Line 2		
Address Line 3		
Address Line 4		
Postcode		
Telephone number		
Email address		

## 3. Notification of subsequent stages of the Local Plan

Please specify whether you wish to be notified of any of the following:

The submission of the Modifications to the appointed Inspector

Yes  No

Publication of the recommendations of any person appointed to carry out an independent examination of the Local Plan

Yes  No

The adoption of the Local Plan.

Yes  No

For Official Use Only

Person ID:

Rep ID:

## Part B - Your Representations

---

Please note: this section will need to be completed for each representation you make

### 4. To which proposed Modification to the Submission Plan or the updated Sustainability Appraisal (SA) does this representation relate?

Modification or SA:

New 1.8.

Mod. Number:

Paragraph Number

Mod. Policies Map Number:

H 43

### 5. Do you consider the Local Plan is :

5.1 Legally Compliant?

Yes

No

5.2 Sound?

Yes

No

### 6. If you answered no to question 5.2, do you consider the Proposed Modification is unsound because it is not:

**(Please tick)**

Positively Prepared:

Justified:

Effective:

Consistent with National Policy:

7. Please give details of why you consider the Proposed Modifications to the Submission Warwick District Local Plan are not legally compliant or are unsound. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Proposed Modifications, please also use this box to set out your comments.

See attached paper

Continue on a separate sheet if necessary

8. Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Proposed Modifications to the Submission Warwick District Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at Question 5 above where this relates to soundness. You will need to say why this change will make the Local Plan/Sustainability Appraisal legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

See attached paper

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested changes, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations. Further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

9. If your representation is seeking a change, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination

Yes, I wish to participate at the oral examination

10. If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

Continue on a separate sheet if necessary

Please note: This written representation carries the same weight and will be subject to the same scrutiny as oral representations. The Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.

#### 11. Declaration

I understand that all comments submitted will be considered in line with this consultation, and that my comments will be made publicly available and may be identifiable to my name/organisation.

Signed:

Date:

20/04/2016

Copies of all the comments and supporting representations will be made available for others to see at the Council's offices at Riverside House and online via the Council's e-consultation system. Please note that all comments on the Local Plan are in the public domain and the Council cannot accept confidential objections. The information will be held on a database and used to assist with the preparation of the new Local Plan and with consideration of planning applications in accordance with the Data Protection Act 1998.

For Official Use Only

Person ID:

Rep ID:

WDC Local Plan Consultation comments by Will Blagburn 20/04/2016  
(modification New 1.8. Map number H 43)

The loss of such a large area of Green Belt at Kings Hill is not justifiable and erodes the Green Belt area between Coventry and Kenilworth which should be protected.(see page 19 f). It also includes part of the area of the Finham Brook flood plane

Reaction to this proposal can be two fold.

1. Many will call for it to be rejected outright.

The objectors will come from Stoneleigh & Ashow parishes within the WDC boundary and from Coventry itself - Finham & Green Lane as it did last time this proposal was raised.

2. A more positive proposal is suggested which involves an acceptance but with strings attached :-

It is understood that Coventry needs to expand and this site is one of the obvious sites left on the South side of the city.

The area of Kings Hill designated for housing should be reduced to just cover the area to the North of the Kings Hill Lane up to Green Lane The land south of Kings Hill Lane should remain Green Belt.

The traffic generated by the extra housing can go North,& South via the widened Kings Hill Lane and link to the new Warwick University Bypass Road which could run from its junction with Gibbet Hill Road across to the HS2 and north to Kirby Corner

It is suggested that additional housing could be sited on the area of land North of that new University bypass road if it is taken out of Green Belt

The Coventry city boundary could be enlarged to take over that land which includes the new developments as it already covers the existing housing on the A429 and Gibbet Hill Road. This would enable the new developments to be administered as part of the city instead of remaining in Stoneleigh Parish where the new housing would swamp the country parish

This new housing will greatly increase the amount of traffic in the area particularly onto A429 the B4115, B4113 & A46 and will increase the problems of traffic through Stoneleigh Village which being used as a rat run to gain access to the old river bridge and cross road, and prevents forward thinking on the Neighbourhood Plan

The need for a bypass was included in the emerging Neighbourhood Plan for the village over two years ago but it was advised that the need could best be achieved as the Local Plan is formulated in the future - see quote - Locality Neighbourhood Plans Roadmap Guide page 12 -*'If you find your issues are 'big picture' ones such as the need for additional roads (such as a by-pass) or flood-related or to do with sustainability and urban design standards, then you may be better off trying to influence the higher level Local Plan'*

The need for mitigation to cover the cost of a replacement of the old bridge, by one that can take two way HG vehicles, upstream and a new road to bypass of the village from the B115 junction of Birmingham Road south to the new bridge.

Confirmation of a Stoneleigh Village bypass is essential if the NP Plan is to be progressed to make the village sustainable and enable it to develop suitable housing ideas. The aim is to retain the character and enhancement our villages and the Neighbourhood Plan need to obtain the support of the majority of all of the residents of the parish.

If the Bypass can not be a condition within the N.P. Plan then the objection could be a refusal to accept any loss of the current Green Belt land at Kings Hill.