

WD. PLANNING
Ref
Off
14 APR 2016
SCANNED
CC CR PD MA
PRE GEN DIS

(1)

MR. M. FARNSWORTH

PLANNING DEVELOPMENT OFFICER (LOCAL PLAN)

Dear Sir/Madam,

I am writing in regard to the modifications to the local plan affecting Hampton Magna and Hatton park.

I would like to object to (A) the increase in housing density to the land (H27) South of Arras Boulevard from 100 to 130 homes and the increase in housing density to the land (H28) north of Birmingham road from 80 to 120 homes at Hatton park.

(B) I also object to the allocation of land (H51) South of Lloyd Close for 115 homes in Hampton Magna, Also Allocation of land (H53) Brushby green lane for 55 homes at Hatton park.

The reasons I object to the above modifications are,

(1) The infrastructure cannot accommodate the large number of vehicles the new homes would add to the villages. Whenever there is a problem on the A16 or the M70 Hampton Magna becomes grid locked and it can take hours to vacate the village. The noise from the above roads is unpleasant several times a day. I think that needs addressing before subjecting the new tenants of the new homes to the same noise pollution.

There are a lot of older people in Hampton Magna, and a lot of children attending the local school. The

large increases in vehicles using the roads would make it a more dangerous place to live.

More pressure would be put on the Hampton Magna surgery (doctors), and the local school with a large increase in people living in the village and surrounding area areas.

I object to the use of land areas H51 and H53 being used for housing. This is green belt land that the prime minister has said Britain must keep ~~to~~ for future generations to enjoy. I think ~~that~~ compulsory purchase of more suitable Brown Belt land should be pursued first as the government did relentlessly so they could build the prime minister a new train set (his legacy) H52,

The plan will discourage cycling and walking as the roads will be very busy and the risk of accidents increased.

I believe the plan is unsustainable (the modifications) and should be rejected.

Yours faithfully,

