

Consultation on Proposed Modifications (2016) Response Form

For Official Only	
Person ID	
Rep ID	

Please use this form if you wish to support or object to the Proposed Modifications

This form has two parts:

Part A – Personal Details
Part B – Your Representations

If your comments relate to more than one proposed Modification you will need to complete a separate Part B of this form for each representation.

This form may be photocopied or alternatively extra forms can be obtained from the Council's offices or places where the Modifications have been made available (see the table below). You can also respond online using the Council's e Consultation System, visit: www.warwickdc.gov.uk/newlocalplan

Please provide your contact details so that we can get in touch with you regarding your representation(s) during the examination period. Your comments (including contact details) cannot be treated as confidential because the Council is required to make them available for public inspection. If your address details change, please inform us in writing. You may withdraw your objection at any time by writing to Warwick District Council, address below.

All forms should be returned by **4.45pm on Friday 22 April 2016**

To return this form, please deliver by hand or post to: **Development Policy Manager, Development Services, Warwick District Council, Riverside House, Milverton Hill, Leamington Spa, CV32 5QH** or **email:** newlocalplan@warwickdc.gov.uk

Where to see copies of the documents:

Copies of the proposed Modifications, updated Sustainability Appraisal and all supporting documents are available for inspection on the Council's web site at www.warwickdc.gov.uk/newlocalplan and also at the following locations:

- Warwick District Council Offices, Riverside House, Milverton Hill, Royal Leamington Spa;
- Leamington Town Hall, Parade, Royal Leamington Spa
- Warwickshire Direct Whitnash, Whitnash Library, Franklin Road, Whitnash
- Leamington Spa Library, The Pump Rooms, Parade, Royal Leamington Spa
- Warwickshire Direct Warwick, Shire Hall, Market Square, Warwick
- Warwickshire Direct Kenilworth, Kenilworth Library, Smalley Place, Kenilworth
- Warwickshire Direct Lillington, Lillington Library, Valley Road, Royal Leamington Spa
- Brunswick Healthy Living Centre 98-100 Shrubland Street, Royal Leamington Spa
- Finham Community Library, Finham Green Rd, Finham, Coventry, CV3 6EP

Part A - Personal Details

1. Personal Details*

2. Agent's Details (if applicable)

* If an agent is appointed, please complete only the Title, Name and Organisation boxes below but complete the full contact details of the agent in section 2.

Title	Mr	Mr
First Name	Andy	Michael
Last Name	Faizey	Davies
Job Title (where relevant)		Director
Organisation (where relevant)	Lioncourt Strategic	Savills (UK) Ltd
Address Line 1		Innovation Court
Address Line 2		121 Edmund Street
Address Line 3		Birmingham
Address Line 4		
Postcode		B3 2HJ
Telephone number		0121 634 8436
Email address		MPDavies@savills.com

3. Notification of subsequent stages of the Local Plan

Please specify whether you wish to be notified of any of the following:

The submission of the Modifications to the appointed Inspector

Yes No

Publication of the recommendations of any person appointed to carry out an independent examination of the Local Plan

Yes No

The adoption of the Local Plan.

Yes No

For Official Use Only

Person ID:

Rep ID:

Part B - Your Representations

Please note: this section will need to be completed for each representation you make

4. To which proposed Modification to the Submission Plan or the updated Sustainability Appraisal (SA) does this representation relate?

Modification or SA:	Modification
Mod. Number:	Mod 21
Paragraph Number	New 1.1 – New 1.11
Mod. Policies Map Number:	N/A

5. Do you consider the Local Plan is :

5.1 Legally Compliant?	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
5.2 Sound?	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

6. If you answered no to question 5.2, do you consider the Proposed Modification is unsound because it is not:

(Please tick)

Positively Prepared:	<input type="checkbox"/>
Justified:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Effective:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Consistent with National Policy:	<input type="checkbox"/>

7. Please give details of why you consider the Proposed Modifications to the Submission Warwick District Local Plan are not legally compliant or are unsound. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Proposed Modifications, please also use this box to set out your comments.

SUPPORT the supporting text to New Policy DS New 1 in general, however we have a number of observations.

Firstly, we would query how sites within the boundary of the City of Coventry will benefit “from a key set of objectives” (New Para 1.4) a where there are separate plans and policies in force (Warwick and Coventry Local Plans). Greater clarity is required as to how Warwick DC intends to facilitate ongoing discussions regarding infrastructure provision with Coventry City Council, outside of the Memorandum of Understanding.

Secondly, we note that New Paragraph 1.10 confirms that proposals for Kings Hill will need to accord with the requirements of all other relevant policies in the Local Plan.

It is with this in mind that we have undertaken a review of the Submitted Policies dated February 2015 as part of our response to this Proposed Modifications consultations. These comments are provided under separate cover and we understand that it is at the discretion of the Local Planning Authority as to whether or not these comments are taken on board. We would suggest that where these comments have significant bearing on the Kings Hill allocation and its overall ability to assist in meeting the revised housing target for Warwick, these should be afforded significant weight by the Local Planning Authority.

Bullet 1 - In heritage terms, policy states there should be regard to the desirability of preserving and/or enhancing heritage assets, i.e. there is no requirement to actively enhance.

Bullet 2 – we would query the reference to ‘local amenity’ – Kings Hill currently has no PRoW in the site?

Bullet 3 - This wording is restrictive and the policy on Biodiversity (NE3) is worded as – “if mitigation measures are not possible on site, then compensatory measures involving biodiversity offsetting will be required”.

Bullet 4 - Suggest the bullet referring to listed buildings and Wainbody Wood is amended to better reflect national policy as follows:

“There are listed buildings associated with Wainbody Wood Farm and Hill Farm (farmhouses and barn) that should be protected and their settings preserved and enhanced as appropriate”

Continue on a separate sheet if necessary

8. Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Proposed Modifications to the Submission Warwick District Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at Question 5 above where this relates to soundness. You will need to say why this change will make the Local Plan/Sustainability Appraisal legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

SUPPORT the supporting text to New Policy DS New 1 in general, however we have a number of observations.

Firstly, we would query how sites within the boundary of the City of Coventry will benefit “from a key set of objectives” (New Para 1.4) a where there are separate plans and policies in force (Warwick and Coventry Local Plans). Greater clarity is required as to how Warwick DC intends to facilitate ongoing discussions regarding infrastructure provision with Coventry City Council, outside of the Memorandum of Understanding.

Secondly, we note that New Paragraph 1.10 confirms that proposals for Kings Hill will need to accord with the requirements of all other relevant policies in the Local Plan.

It is with this in mind that we have undertaken a review of the Submitted Policies dated February 2015 as part of our response to this Proposed Modifications consultations. These comments are provided under separate cover and we understand that it is at the discretion of the Local Planning Authority as to whether or not these comments are taken on board. We would suggest that where these comments have significant bearing on the Kings Hill allocation and its overall ability to assist in meeting the revised housing target for Warwick, these should be afforded significant weight by the Local Planning Authority.

Bullet 1 - In heritage terms, policy states there should be regard to the desirability of preserving and/or enhancing heritage assets, i.e. there is no requirement to actively enhance.

Bullet 2 – we would query the reference to ‘local amenity’ – Kings Hill currently has no PRoW in the site?

Bullet 3 - This wording is restrictive and the policy on Biodiversity (NE3) is worded as – “if mitigation measures are not possible on site, then compensatory measures involving biodiversity offsetting will be required”.

Bullet 4 - Suggest the bullet referring to listed buildings and Wainbody Wood is amended to better reflect national policy as follows:

“There are listed buildings associated with Wainbody Wood Farm and Hill Farm (farmhouses and barn) that should be protected and their settings preserved and enhanced as appropriate”

Continue on a separate sheet if necessary

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested changes, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations. Further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

For Official Use Only

Person ID:

Rep ID:

9. If your representation is seeking a change, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination

Yes, I wish to participate at the oral examination

10. If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

To provide further evidence and support to representations submitted to the formal consultation.

Continue on a separate sheet if necessary

Please note: This written representation carries the same weight and will be subject to the same scrutiny as oral representations. The Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.

11. Declaration

I understand that all comments submitted will be considered in line with this consultation, and that my comments will be made publicly available and may be identifiable to my name/organisation.

Signed:



Michael Davies (Savills (UK) Ltd)

Date:

22 April 2016

Copies of all the comments and supporting representations will be made available for others to see at the Council's offices at Riverside House and online via the Council's e-consultation system. Please note that all comments on the Local Plan are in the public domain and the Council cannot accept confidential objections. The information will be held on a database and used to assist with the preparation of the new Local Plan and with consideration of planning applications in accordance with the Data Protection Act 1998.

For Official Use Only

Person ID:

Rep ID: