

## Gypsy and Traveller Preferred Options Sites Response Form 2014

For Official Use Only

Ref: 12508

Rep. Ref.

Please use this form if you wish to comment on the Gypsy and Traveller Preferred Options Sites.

**If you are commenting on multiple sites you will need to complete a separate copy of Part B of this form for each representation.**

This form may be photocopied or, alternatively, extra forms can be obtained from the Council's offices or places where the consultation documents have been made available (see back page). You can also respond online using the LDF Consultation System, visit: [www.warwickdc.gov.uk/newlocalplan](http://www.warwickdc.gov.uk/newlocalplan)

### Part A - Personal Details

#### 1. Personal Details

#### 2. Agent's Details (if applicable)

Title

MS

First Name

LYNN

Last Name

CLARKE

Job Title (where relevant)

Organisation (where relevant)

Address Line 1

Address Line 2

Address Line 3

Address Line 4

Postcode

Telephone number

Email address

Would you like to be made aware of

About You: Gender

Ethnic Origin

Age

Where did you hear about this consultation e.g. radio, newspaper, word of mouth, exhibitions?

AT DROP IN SESSION AT HARBURY VILLAGE HALL ON MON 24<sup>th</sup> MARCH 2014

# Part B - Commenting on the Gypsy and Traveller Preferred Sites

If you are commenting on multiple sites you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation

Sheet 1 of 2

The policy in the Draft Local Plan will list the criteria by which Gypsy and Traveller sites will be judged for suitability and sustainability. These are the criteria:

- Impact on the green belt
- Impact on Landscape character
- Impact on heritage assets and the settings of heritage assets
- Impact on designated areas of nature conservation Flooding issues
- Ability of infrastructure requirements to be adequately met
- Impact on ecology
- Impact of land contamination, noise and other disturbance
- Agricultural land quality
- Impact on visual amenity including the visibility and character of the site and surrounding area
- The potential for the site to be adequately screened
- Access to the road network
- Distance to GP surgeries, schools, dentists, hospitals, shops and community facilities
- Proximity to other residential properties
- Potential for the proposal to utilise previously developed land
- Safe access to and from the site for vehicles and pedestrians
- Site topography
- Suitable size
- Availability of the site (including impact on the existing uses on the site)
- Deliverability of the site and associated infrastructure requirements

Please give your views about site suitability below with reference to this list of criteria.

Which site are you responding to?

(e.g. GT04 Land at Harbury Lane/Fosse Way)

GTO4 LAND at HARBURY LANE/FOSSE WAY

What is the nature of your representation?

Support



Object

Comment

Please set out full details of your objection or representation of support with reference to the criteria above.

I wish to object to the GT04 site and my comments and concerns are as follows:

I first heard of these proposals ~~was~~ on the 24<sup>th</sup> March at a meeting at Harbury Village Hall which came as a surprise!! I felt that it had been kept very quiet and has been almost decided without ~~the~~ debate of the local community being involved.

My concerns are also:

A G&T site on Harbury Lane would be unsuitable as it's a very narrow and busy lane with no footpath, no lighting and leading onto a very already busy junction with Fosse Way.

Page 1 of 2.

For Official Use Only

Ref:

Rep. Ref.

This would make it extremely dangerous for pedestrians (children especially). Lots of accidents happen at this junction already without the added risk of caravans turning in and out of the site!!

The site would not be close to amenities i.e. schools, shops & doctors surgeries. - The doctors surgery and school in Harbury are both full to capacity. Travellers would need to drive to Harbury - making it non eco-friendly not to mention the parking problems already experienced in Harbury Village centre!

The local school and Doctors are also under pressure from the new houses that have been built in Bush Heath Lane and these families should get priority!! Some families have had to enrol their children at school in Bishops Itchington as they cannot get in at Harbury School.

Harbury Lane is prone to flooding and that could be a problem as ~~part~~ part of the football field site and surrounding fields are often underwater.

The GTO4 proposed site ~~is~~ the Football Club, it will cost money to re-site the club! If the Football club were to relocate the site should be returned to farmland as there is no adequate infrastructure for a G x T site to be built here.

A suitable G x T site needs to be nearer to amenities (i.e. schools, doctors, shops etc.) and close to communities so that the G x Ts can integrate with a local community - not stuck out on the edge of villages which are unable to support these needs!!!

The money that it will cost to develop the GTO4 site would be better spent on a brownfield site closer to Leamington Spa.

This site does not appear to meet the National Planning framework recommendations nor does it appear to meet the fundamental planning criteria layout out by the NPPF!

## Part B - Commenting on the Gypsy and Traveller Preferred Sites

---

If you are commenting on multiple sites you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation

Sheet            of

If you have objected to a Preferred Option site, is there another site (green or amber) from the Alternative Sites that you would support instead? Give your reasons for preferring this site?

Do you have any other suggestions for land within this district that you think would be suitable for use as a Gypsy and Traveller site, bearing in mind the criteria for site identification? If so, please give the location and the land owner's details below:

For Official Use Only

Ref:

Rep. Ref.