

Part B - Your Representations

Please note: this section will need to be completed for each representation you make on each separate policy.

4. To which part of the Local Plan or Sustainability Appraisal (SA) does this representation relate?

Local Plan or SA:

Local Plan

Paragraph Number:

Policy Number:

DS10 Broad Locations of Allocated Housing Site

Policies Map Number:

5. Do you consider the Local Plan is :

5.1 Legally Compliant?

Yes



No



5.2 Complies with the Duty to Co-operate?

Yes



No



5.3 Sound?

Yes



No



6. If you answered no to question 5.3, do you consider the Local Plan and/or SA unsound because it is not: (please tick that apply):

Positively Prepared:



Justified:



Effective:



Consistent with National Policy:



For Official Use Only

Person ID:

Rep ID:

7. Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to cooperate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

Please see below

Continue on a separate sheet if necessary

8. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 7. above where this relates to soundness. (Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

In order to meet the requirements of the NPPF, and the Council's own objectives with regard to the provision of housing, the overall quantum of development needs to be increased in accordance with other separate representations made to the Plan, and more of the housing growth, increased or otherwise, needs to be directed to sites within the Growth Villages and the rural area in order to help make the Plan sound.

It is considered that the amount of housing to be directed to sites within the Growth Villages and the rural area should not be less than 1,000 dwellings.

Continue on a separate sheet if necessary

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested modification, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage. **After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.**

For Official Use Only

Person ID:

Rep ID:

9. If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination

Yes, I wish to participate at the oral examination

10. If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

The matters raised in these representations require the Council's assessment and appraisal work to be rigorously tested, and the strategy of the Plan to be considered in the detail which can only be achieved by debate at an examination.

Continue on a separate sheet if necessary

Please note: This written representation carries the same weight and will be subject to the same scrutiny as oral representations. The Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.

11. Declaration

I understand that all comments submitted will be considered in line with this consultation, and that my comments will be made publicly available and may be identifiable to my name/organisation.

Signed:

Date :

27/06/2014

Copies of all the objections and supporting representations will be made available for others to see at the Council's offices at Riverside House and online via the Council's e-consultation system. Please note that all comments on the Local Plan are in the public domain and the Council cannot accept confidential objections. The information will be held on a database and used to assist with the preparation of the new Local Plan and with consideration of planning applications in accordance with the Data Protection Act 1998.

For Official Use Only

Person ID:

Rep ID:

Q7 Separate submissions have been made to the effect that the District Council has not made adequate provision within the Plan to meet the full and objectively assessed needs for market and affordable housing as required by paragraph 47 of the NPPF. The quantum of housing development needs to be substantially increased and, in addition, the distribution of the provision needs to be amended so that more housing can be released in sustainable rural locations such as the identified Growth Villages in order to achieve the core planning principles set down in the NPPF (paragraph 17) and the requirement on local planning authorities to deliver a wide choice of high quality homes, widen opportunities for home ownership and create sustainable, inclusive and mixed communities (NPPF, paragraph 50). For these reasons, even if the quantum of housing is not increased throughout the District, the distribution of housing growth needs to be reconsidered to allow more of the housing growth to take place within sustainable rural locations such as the identified Growth Villages

The Revised Development Strategy (June 2013) recognised (Policy RDS3) the desirability of distributing growth across the District, including within and/or on the edge of some villages, and allowed for a hierarchy of growth in the rural area to include a higher level of growth in larger, more sustainable villages with a reasonable level of services. The RDS provided for circa 1,000 dwellings to be provided in the then designated Primary and Secondary Service Villages and the subsequent appraisal work undertaken by the District Council does not remove the need to provide for more housing across the District, in order to meet the requirements of the NPPF and the Council's own objectives. Furthermore the appraisal work does not justify reducing the amount of housing to be directed to the larger, more sustainable villages.