

## Gypsy and Traveller Preferred Options Sites Response Form 2014


For Official Use Only
Ref: 12453
Rep. Ref.

Please use this form if you wish to comment on the Gypsy and Traveller Preferred Options Sites.

If you are commenting on multiple sites you will need to complete a separate copy of Part B of this form for each representation.

This form may be photocopied or, alternatively, extra forms can be obtained from the Council's offices or places where the consultation documents have been made available (see back page). You can also respond online using the LDF Consultation System, visit: [www.warwickdc.gov.uk/newlocalplan](http://www.warwickdc.gov.uk/newlocalplan)

### Part A - Personal Details

	1. Personal Details	2. Agent's Details (if applicable)
Title	MRS	
First Name	SOPHIE	
Last Name	NIKOLASH.	
Job Title (where relevant)	—	
Organisation (where relevant)	—	
Address Line 1		
Address Line 2		
Address Line 3		
Address Line 4		
Postcode		
Telephone number		
Email address		
Would you like to be made aware of		
About You: Gender		
Ethnic Origin		
Age		

WDC PLANNING  
Ref

Where did you hear about this consultation e.g. radio, newspaper, word of mouth, exhibitions?

word of mouth

# Part B - Commenting on the Gypsy and Traveller Preferred Sites

If you are commenting on multiple sites you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation

Sheet  of

The policy in the Draft Local Plan will list the criteria by which Gypsy and Traveller sites will be judged for suitability and sustainability. These are the criteria:

- Impact on the green belt
- Impact on Landscape character
- Impact on heritage assets and the settings of heritage assets
- Impact on designated areas of nature conservation Flooding issues
- ✓ Ability of infrastructure requirements to be adequately met
- Impact on ecology
- ✓ Impact of land contamination, noise and other disturbance
- Agricultural land quality
- ✓ Impact on visual amenity including the visibility and character of the site and surrounding area
- ✓ The potential for the site to be adequately screened
- ✓ Access to the road network
- ✓ Distance to GP surgeries, schools, dentists, hospitals, shops and community facilities
- ✓ Proximity to other residential properties
- Potential for the proposal to utilise previously developed land
- ✓ Safe access to and from the site for vehicles and pedestrians
- Site topography
- Suitable size
- Availability of the site (including impact on the existing uses on the site)
- ✓ Deliverability of the site and associated infrastructure requirements

Please give your views about site suitability below with reference to this list of criteria.

Which site are you responding to? **GT 19 BIRMINGHAM ROAD**  
 (e.g. GT04 Land at Harbury Lane/Fosse Way)

What is the nature of your representation?  Support  Object  Comment

Please set out full details of your objection or representation of support with reference to the criteria above.

away from the area - especially commuters that use Warwick Park way and that walk/cycle past the site daily. It will lessen the area and in the long term reduce the investment in it.

Concern over the look + infrastructure requirements needed and the knock on effect of the nearby Hutton Park Estate. Home prices are high on the estate and the addition of a traveller site will reduce the value of family properties hugely which in the long term would make people move. The Stratford Road is also already chocker and full at rush hours both in a.m + p.m. When there have been visiting travellers there has been an increase in crime in Hutton Park. ALSO the canal + towpath - hutton locks are used by many people

For Official Use Only	
Ref:	Rep. Ref.

at weekends - a permanent site here would destroy the ambience

Big concern about the value of our property going down

## Part B - Commenting on the Gypsy and Traveller Preferred Sites

If you are commenting on multiple sites you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation

Sheet \_\_\_\_\_ of \_\_\_\_\_

If you have objected to a Preferred Option site, is there another site (green or amber) from the Alternative Sites that you would support instead? Give your reasons for preferring this site?

Do you have any other suggestions for land within this district that you think would be suitable for use as a Gypsy and Traveller site bearing in mind the criteria for site identification? If so, please give the location and the land owner's details below.

For Official Use Only

Ref.

Rep. Ref.