

## Gypsy and Traveller Preferred Options Sites Response Form 2014


For Official Use Only
Ref: 8229
Rep. Ref.

Please use this form if you wish to comment on the Gypsy and Traveller Preferred Options Sites.

If you are commenting on multiple sites you will need to complete a separate copy of Part B of this form for each representation.

This form may be photocopied or, alternatively, extra forms can be obtained from the Council's offices or places where the consultation documents have been made available (see back page). You can also respond online using the LDF Consultation System, visit [www.warwickdc.gov.uk/newlocalplan](http://www.warwickdc.gov.uk/newlocalplan)

### Part A - Personal Details

	1. Personal Details	2. Agent's Details (if applicable)
Title	MRS	
First Name	LINDA	
Last Name	ROOTS	
Job Title (where relevant)		
Organisation (where relevant)		
Address Line 1		
Address Line 2		
Address Line 3		
Address Line 4		
Postcode		
Telephone number		
Email address		
Would you like to be made aware of		
About You: Gender		
Ethnic Origin		
Age		
Where did you hear about this consultation e.g. radio, newspaper, word of mouth, exhibitions?	WORD OF MOUTH	

WDC PLANNING  
Ref:

# Part B - Commenting on the Gypsy and Traveller Preferred Sites

If you are commenting on multiple sites you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation

Sheet 1 of 2

The policy in the Draft Local Plan will list the criteria by which Gypsy and Traveller sites will be judged for suitability and sustainability. These are the criteria:

- Impact on the green belt
- Impact on Landscape character
- Impact on heritage assets and the settings of heritage assets
- Impact on designated areas of nature conservation Flooding issues
- Ability of infrastructure requirements to be adequately met
- Impact on ecology
- Impact of land contamination, noise and other disturbance
- Agricultural land quality
- Impact on visual amenity including the visibility and character of the site and surrounding area
- The potential for the site to be adequately screened
- Access to the road network
- Distance to GP surgeries, schools, dentists, hospitals, shops and community facilities
- Proximity to other residential properties
- Potential for the proposal to utilise previously developed land
- Safe access to and from the site for vehicles and pedestrians
- Site topography
- Suitable size
- Availability of the site (including impact on the existing uses on the site)
- Deliverability of the site and associated infrastructure requirements

Please give your views about site suitability below with reference to this list of criteria.

Which site are you responding to?  
 (e.g. G104: Land at Harbury Lane/Rosse Way) GT19 OAKLANDS FARM BIRMINGHAM ROAD

What is the nature of your representation?  Support  Object  Comment

Please set out full details of your objection or representation of support with reference to the criteria above.

1/ This site is greenbelt.

2/ A4177 extremely busy + dangerous junction of Ugly Green Bridge + Shell garage - well noted accident blackspot.

3/ Negative impact on tourism + spoil the openness of the area - screening would not hide or lessen noise issues.

This is on the main A4177 route to historic Warwick.

4/ Safety concerns for both children that could be risked on site due to deep water in the canal.

5/ Detriment to Ecology, wildlife + local Farm land.

6/ The locks date back to 1799 + the "Stairway to Heaven lock flight" is

For Official Use Only

Ref: \_\_\_\_\_ Rep. Ref: \_\_\_\_\_

valued by local residents + thousands of visitors who visit Warwick. As we have already seen with the "Kites Nest" site people were intimidated by the gypsy community + their dogs and felt unsafe within the area. It is a beautiful site but this along with

3, Residents nearest the site would be overwhelmed  
+ proportionally outnumbered by this planning  
application, ~~misuse~~ <sup>misuse</sup> not <sup>create</sup> a framework of co-existence.

## Part B - Commenting on the Gypsy and Traveller Preferred Sites

If you are commenting on multiple sites you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation

Sheet 4 of 2

If you have objected to a Preferred Option site, is there another site (green or amber) from the Alternative Sites that you would support instead? Give your reasons for preferring this site?

Brown or even amber sites should be considered "The Green Belt should be protected".  
The site should not impact on the local community or tourism.

Do you have any other suggestions for land within this district that you think would be suitable for use as a Gypsy and Traveller site, bearing in mind the criteria for site identification? If so, please give the location and the land owner's details below:

For Official Use Only

Ref:

Rep. Ref.