

Gypsy and Traveller Preferred Options Sites Response Form 2014

For Official Use Only

Ref: 5649

Rep. Ref.

Please use this form if you wish to comment on the Gypsy and Traveller Preferred Options Sites.

If you are commenting on multiple sites you will need to complete a separate copy of Part B of this form for each representation.

This form may be photocopied or, alternatively, extra forms can be obtained from the Council's offices or places where the consultation documents have been made available (see back page). You can also respond online using the LDF Consultation System, visit: www.warwickdc.gov.uk/newlocalplan

Part A - Personal Details

1. Personal Details

2. Agent's Details (if applicable)

Title

Mr

First Name

JOHN

Last Name

SHARP

Job Title (where relevant)

CHA

Organisation (where relevant)

Address Line 1

Address Line 2

Address Line 3

Address Line 4

Postcode

Telephone number

Email address

Would you like to be made aware of other consultation opportunities?

About You: Gender

Ethnic Origin

Age

WDC PLANNING
Ref
Off

Where did you hear about this consultation e.g. radio, newspaper, word of mouth, exhibitions?

NEWSPAPER

Part B - Commenting on the Gypsy and Traveller Preferred Sites

If you are commenting on multiple sites you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation

Sheet 1 of 3

The policy in the Draft Local Plan will list the criteria by which Gypsy and Traveller sites will be judged for suitability and sustainability. These are the criteria:

- Impact on the green belt
- Impact on Landscape character
- Impact on heritage assets and the settings of heritage assets
- Impact on designated areas of nature conservation Flooding issues
- Ability of infrastructure requirements to be adequately met
- Impact on ecology
- Impact of land contamination, noise and other disturbance
- Agricultural land quality
- Impact on visual amenity including the visibility and character of the site and surrounding area
- The potential for the site to be adequately screened
- Access to the road network
- Distance to GP surgeries, schools, dentists, hospitals, shops and community facilities
- Proximity to other residential properties
- Potential for the proposal to utilise previously developed land
- Safe access to and from the site for vehicles and pedestrians
- Site topography
- Suitable size
- Availability of the site (including impact on the existing uses on the site)
- Deliverability of the site and associated infrastructure requirements

Please give your views about site suitability below with reference to this list of criteria.

Which site are you responding to?

(e.g. GT04 Land at Harbury Lane/Fosse Way)

GTALT 03 LAND @ HENLEY ROAD / HAMPTON ROAD

What is the nature of your representation?

Support



Object

Comment

Please set out full details of your objection or representation of support with reference to the criteria above.

I wish to protest in the strongest manner regarding this site.

In recent years there have been 3 rejected planning applications on this site, all for reasons that are still very valid. The access to the site, on a very fast road, where very few drivers adhere to the speed limit, is very dangerous, being on a bend on the brow of a hill and there is also an injunction, preventing development of this site

There is also a high voltage power line running across the site, which also makes it totally unsuitable for any development purpose.

The only reason that the owner is keen to use this site for this purpose is that along with his recent planning rejections, he has been unable to sell the land and allowing it to be used for this purpose would be a way at getting back at the Council, who rejected his plans and the local people who objected to them.

In view of the previous rejected planning applications for this site, the Council would be leaving themselves open to ridicule if they were to do a "U turn" and allow this site to be used.

For Official Use Only

Ref.

Rep. Ref.

Part B - Commenting on the Gypsy and Traveller Preferred Sites

If you are commenting on multiple sites you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation

Sheet 2 of 3

The policy in the Draft Local Plan will list the criteria by which Gypsy and Traveller sites will be judged for suitability and sustainability. These are the criteria:

- Impact on the green belt
- Impact on Landscape character
- Impact on heritage assets and the settings of heritage assets
- Impact on designated areas of nature conservation Flooding issues
- Ability of infrastructure requirements to be adequately met
- impact on ecology
- Impact of land contamination, noise and other disturbance
- Agricultural land quality
- Impact on visual amenity including the visibility and character of the site and surrounding area
- The potential for the site to be adequately screened
- Access to the road network
- Distance to GP surgeries, schools, dentists, hospitals, shops and community facilities
- Proximity to other residential properties
- Potential for the proposal to utilise previously developed land
- Safe access to and from the site for vehicles and pedestrians
- Site topography
- Suitable size
- Availability of the site (including impact on the existing uses on the site)
- Deliverability of the site and associated infrastructure requirements

Please give your views about site suitability below with reference to this list of criteria.

Which site are you responding to?

(e.g. GT04 Land at Harbury Lane/Fosse Way)

GT19 LAND OFF BIRMINGHAM ROAD, BUBBLOKE

What is the nature of your representation?

Support

Object

Comment

Please set out full details of your objection or representation of support with reference to the criteria above.

I wish to object most strongly to the use of this site on the basis of the confined access to the site.

The turning off, the very fast Birmingham Road, very few drivers respect the 40mph speed limit, is dangerous enough, being very close to a petrol garage, but the turning into the site off Ugly Bridge Road is extremely narrow and totally unsuitable for large vehicles such as travellers caravans.

Also the entrance is very close to the brow of the canal bridge and traffic approaching along Ugly Bridge Road would not be able to see vehicles turning into the site until they were on top of them.

For this reason alone, the site is totally unsuitable for this purpose.

For Official Use Only

Ref:

Rep. Ref.

Part B - Commenting on the Gypsy and Traveller Preferred Sites

If you are commenting on multiple sites you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation

Sheet 3 of 3

If you have objected to a Preferred Option site, is there another site (green or amber) from the Alternative Sites that you would support instead? Give your reasons for preferring this site?

The sites that I would support for this purpose are GT15 Land East of Europa Way and GTalt01 Brookside Willows, Banbury Road.

Neither of these sites are within the green belt. They are both served by local transport services and the local schools in the area have ample capacity and in the case of Brookside Willows, it is already being developed as a caravan site.

Do you have any other suggestions for land within this district that you think would be suitable for use as a Gypsy and Traveller site, bearing in mind the criteria for site identification? If so, please give the location and the land owner's details below:

For Official Use Only

Ref:

Rep. Ref.