

Village Housing Options Response Form 2013



For Official Use Only
 Ref: 11915
 Rep. Ref.

Please use this form if you wish to support or object to the Village Housing Options and Settlement Boundaries.

If you are commenting on multiple sections of the document you will need to complete a separate copy of either Part B and/or Part C of this form for each representation.

This form may be photocopied or, alternatively, extra forms can be obtained from the Council's offices or places where the plan has been made available (see back page). You can also respond online using the LDF Consultation System, visit: www.warwickdc.gov.uk/newlocalplan

Part A - Personal Details

	1. Personal Details	2. Agent's Details (if applicable)
Title	MR	
First Name	JAMES	
Last Name	HANRAHAN	
Job Title (where relevant)		WDC PLANNING Ref Officer
Address Line 1		17 JAN 2014
Address Line 2		SCANNED
Address Line 3		CC CR PD MA
Address Line 4		PRE GEN DIS
Postcode		
Telephone number		
Email address		
Would you like to be made aware of future consultations on the new Local Plan?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
About You: Gender		
Ethnic Origin		
Age		
Where did you hear about this consultation e.g. radio, newspaper, word of mouth, exhibitions, parish council?	PARISH COUNCIL	

Part B - Commenting on the Village Housing Options

If you are commenting on multiple sections of the document you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation

Sheet of

Which part of the document are you responding to?

56

Page



Chapter



Paragraph

57

Table or Figure



Village Plan

What is the nature of your representation?



Support



Object

Please set out full details of your representation of support or objection. If objecting, please set out what changes could be made to resolve your objection (Use a separate sheet if necessary).

I recommend site Nos 2+3, as I believe it is the option with more common sense.

The option preferred by WDC would I believe cause more traffic problems and have knock on effects to pedestrians, regarding safety. As mentioned the junction regarding off church lane is already difficult, and any extra concentration of housing in that area will place extra danger in the traffic flow.

I think site Nos 2+3 would be more functional and would not be a high visual impact.

For Official Use Only

Ref:

Rep. Ref.