

LOCAL PLAN

helpingshapethedistrict



WARWICK DISTRICT COUNCIL
 Planning
 Officer
 20 JAN 2014
 SCANNED
 CC CR PD MA
 PRE GEN DIS

For Official Use Only
 Ref:
 Rep. Ref. 11688

Village Housing Options Response Form 2013

Please use this form if you wish to support or object to the Village Housing Options and Settlement Boundaries.

If you are commenting on multiple sections of the document you will need to complete a separate copy of either Part B and/or Part C of this form for each representation.

This form may be photocopied or, alternatively, extra forms can be obtained from the Council's offices or places where the plan has been made available (see back page). You can also respond online using the LDF Consultation System, visit: www.warwickdc.gov.uk/newlocalplan

Part A - Personal Details

	1. Personal Details	2. Agent's Details (if applicable)
Title	MR	
First Name	DAVID	
Last Name	ALLISON	
Job Title (where relevant)		
Address Line 1		
Address Line 2		
Address Line 3		
Address Line 4		
Postcode		
Telephone number		
Email address		
Would you like to be made aware of fu		
About You: Gender		
Ethnic Origin		
Age		
Where did you hear about this consultation e.g. radio, newspaper, word of mouth, exhibitions, parish council?	PARISH COUNCIL	

Part B - Commenting on the Village Housing Options

If you are commenting on multiple sections of the document you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation

Sheet of

Which part of the document are you responding to?

Page

Chapter

Paragraph

Table or Figure

Village Plan

What is the nature of your representation?

Support

Object

Please set out full details of your representation of support or objection. If objecting, please set out what changes could be made to resolve your objection (Use a separate sheet if necessary).

The scale of development is too great and would ultimately change the character of the village environment. At 22.3% the increase in housing is excessive, especially in comparison with other local Plan considered villages. I recognise that Leek Watton has to do its bit in supporting the national & regional shortfall in housing, but would suggest that 30-40 additional houses would be more reasonable.

I am concerned that the existing village infrastructure would not cope with this increase. Specifically the school, which is not able to cope with children who live in the village already, let alone ~~existing~~ an increase in village population - that the new houses would create. New classrooms would need to be built. Also, the sewerage system, as it stands, would not cope with the new houses. A modernised sewerage system would need to be implemented.

The current junction (between Woodcote Lane & the Warwick Rd) is barely able to cope with existing traffic levels - it is a dangerous junction & very narrow. The increased population would lead to an increase in traffic, especially as with current plans the Police HQ would still be operational. I suggest that a full traffic survey & road widening be carried out at this junction in respect of developer in options 1-4.

The plan does not give an adequate "feel" for phasing. It is essential that the phasing of builds be clarified. The village would not cope with sudden mass-building, nor does it want to be a perpetual building site.

The housing concentration in areas 1-4 is too great in relation to the character of the village. As ~~proposed~~ suggest, the numbers need to be reduced to approx. 30-40 houses on these areas.

For Official Use Only

Ref:

Rep. Ref.

Part C - Commenting on the Indicative Settlement Boundaries

If you are commenting on multiple sections of the document, you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation

Sheet of

Which settlement are you responding to?

LEEK WOOTTON

What is the nature of your representation?

Support

Object

Please set out full details of your objection or representation of support. If objecting, please set out what changes could be made to resolve your objection (use a separate sheet if necessary).

I am deeply concerned about the proposal to remove existing Green Belt status in areas of the plan. I don't object to utilising the existing Police HQ site (Area 4). I object as it would create the possibility of "insetting", especially between areas 4, 6 & 1. Future "windfall" developments + "insetting" would massively change the environment + character of the village further beyond the plans proposed. This would exacerbate further the objections I have raised under "Village Housing Options".

For Official Use Only

Ref:

Rep. Ref.