

LOCAL PLAN

helping shape the district



Village Housing Options

For Official Use Only

Ref:

Response Form 2013

Rep. Ref. 11821

Please use this form if you wish to support or object to the Village Housing Options and Settlement Boundaries. **If you are commenting on multiple sections of the document you will need to complete a separate copy of either Part B and/or Part C of this form for each representation.**

This form may be photocopied or, alternatively, extra forms can be obtained from the Council's offices or places where the plan has been made available (see back page). You can also respond online using the LDF Consultation System, visit: www.warwickdc.gov.uk/newlocalplan

Part A - Personal Details

1. Personal Details

Title

Mrs

First Name

LINDA

Last Name

SIMONS

Job Title (where relevant)

Address Line 1

Address Line 2

Address Line 3

Address Line 4

Postcode

Telephone number

Email address

Would you like to be m

About You: Gender

Ethnic Origin

Age

Where did you hear abo

PARISH COUNCIL



Part B - Commenting on the Village Housing Options

If you are commenting on multiple sections of the document you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation

Which part of the document are you responding to?

Page

Chapter

PROPOSAL TO BUILD NEXT TO ST NICHOLAS CHURCH ON SITE 1
(Land to the east of Church Lane – 3.55 ha developable area and site capacity for 100 dwellings.)

Table or Figure

Village Plan

What is the nature of your representation?

Support

Object

Please set out full details of development and/or objection. If the housing at Church Fields should remain as they currently are a lovely, open view of the origins of the village.

I OBJECT TO SITE 1 BEING USED FOR HOUSING DEVELOPMENT.

Many reasons why this area ie: site 1, should be discounted have been given, but my main concerns are:

- The annihilation of what has been considered for many years as the “village green” and is the last open space in the village
- The irreversible harm to the open outlook and listed setting of the church and its immediate surroundings
- The high visibility impact of over 100 similarly styled properties permanently ruining the heritage and village identity of The White Lion, the thatched cottages and other nearby historical and long established properties
- The outpouring of a significant number of vehicles from the proposed development on to the Southam Road. During commuter times, this area is already pressurised and any increase to the “standing traffic”, particularly on the blind bend by the Manor House, could heighten the risk of accidents

Three alternative sites for housing are also shown in the local plan. Using my above points as a basis, I would suggest that sites 2 and 3 are more appropriate. Whilst neither site currently appears in the village boundary, I am sure changes to the boundary to include whichever site is chosen for housing is not beyond the scope of WDC. Should neither site 2 nor 3 be large enough for the proposed 130 properties and it is financial restrictive to share the development between both sites, reduce the scale of the development to suit the village’s current social and utility infrastructure.

It is never easy to find a solution which meets everyone’s requirements, but preference for Church Fields appears to be the easy option both for negotiations on land acquisition and also for utilities infrastructure for the developer.

PLEASE POST YOUR FORM TO: **Development Policy Manager, Development Services, Warwick District Council, Riverside House, Milverton Hill, Leamington Spa, CV32 5QH**
Or, you can drop off at the village Stores.