

Village Housing Options Response Form 2013


For Official Use Only
Ref: 11853
Rep. Ref.

Please use this form if you wish to support or object to the Village Housing Options and Settlement Boundaries.

If you are commenting on multiple sections of the document you will need to complete a separate copy of either Part B and/or Part C of this form for each representation.

This form may be photocopied or, alternatively, extra forms can be obtained from the Council's offices or places where the plan has been made available (see back page). You can also respond online using the LDF Consultation System, visit: www.warwickdc.gov.uk/newlocalplan

Part A - Personal Details

	1. Personal Details	2. Agent's Details (if applicable)
Title	MR & MRS	
First Name	RICHARD & BRENDA	
Last Name	WORTH	
Job Title (where relevant)		
Address Line 1		
Address Line 2		
Address Line 3		
Address Line 4		
Postcode		
Telephone number		
Email address		
Would you like to be made a		
About You: Gender		
Ethnic Origin		
Age	<input type="checkbox"/> Under 16	<input type="checkbox"/> 16 - 24
	<input type="checkbox"/> 45 - 54	<input type="checkbox"/> 55 - 64
	<input type="checkbox"/> 25 - 34	<input type="checkbox"/> 35 - 44
	<input type="checkbox"/> 65+	
Where did you hear about this consultation e.g. radio, newspaper, word of mouth, exhibitions, parish council?		

Part B - Commenting on the Village Housing Options

If you are commenting on multiple sections of the document you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation

Sheet of

Which part of the document are you responding to?

Page Chapter Paragraph
 Table or Figure Village Plan

What is the nature of your representation?

Support Object

Please set out full details of your representation of support or objection. If objecting, please set out what changes could be made to resolve your objection (Use a separate sheet if necessary).

Our objection is the development of proposed site 1.

We would have less objection to the development of sites 2 and 3 as this would have, in our opinion, considerably less effect on the heart of our historic village.

Additional traffic generated would be very detrimental to the general flow of traffic if site 1 were chosen and could have a damaging effect on the recently refurbished village church.

For Official Use Only

Ref:

Rep. Ref.