

9 The Courtyard, Timothy's Bridge Road, Stratford-upon-Avon, CV37 9NP T: 01789 414097 F: 01789 414608 E: mail@stansgate.co.uk W: www.stansgate.co.uk

Our Ref: KW/MLR/K/6009

8 January 2014

Development Policy Manager Development Services Warwick District Council **Riverside House** Milverton Hill LEAMINGTON SPA CV32 5HQ

BY E-MAIL

Dear Sirs

LOCAL PLAN - VILLAGE HOUSING OPTIONS RESPONSE FORM

Please find enclosed representations made in connection with the above document on behalf of our clients Sir Thomas White's Charity and King Henry Viii Endowed Trust.

I trust you find the representations of assistance in preparing the Local Plan and I would be pleased to discuss them with you should it be helpful.

Yours faithfully

Mrs Miranda Rogers BSc(Hons) MSc MRTPI **Principal Planner** E-mail: miranda@stansgate.co.uk

Enc Part A Part B Paragraph 2.10 Part B Paragraph 3.7 Part B Paragraph 3.12 Part B Paragraph 4.10 Part B Paragraph 5.7 Part B Paragraph 6.6 Part B Cubbington Site 5 Part C Cubbington

Сс Client

> **Stansgate Planning** Chartered Town Planners

Chartered Surveyors Planning and Development Consultants



Village Housing Options Response Form 2013

WARWICK DISTRICT COUNCIL

For Official Use Only Ref: Rep. Ref.

Please use this form if you wish to support or object to the Village Housing Options and Settlement Boundaries.

If you are commenting on multiple sections of the document you will need to complete a separate copy of either Part B and/or Part C of this form for each representation.

This form may be photocopied or, alternatively, extra forms can be obtained from the Council's offices or places where the plan has been made available (see back page). You can also respond online using the LDF Consultation System, visit: **www.warwickdc.gov.uk/newlocalplan**

Part A - Personal Details

		1. Personal Details		2. Agent's Details (if applicable)		
Title		Sir Thomas White'	s Charity &	Mrs		
First Name		King Henry VIII Er	ndowed Trust	Miranda	Miranda	
Last Name				Rogers	Rogers	
Job Title (wh	ere relevant)			Principal Planner		
Address Line	• 1	C/o Agent		Stansgate Plann	ning	
Address Line	2			9 The Courtyard	1 (KW/MLR/6009)	
Address Line	• 3			Timothy's Bridge	e Road	
Address Line	e 4			STRATFORD U	IPON AVON	
Postcode				CV37 9NP		
Telephone n	umber			01789 414097		
Email addre:	SS			miranda@stans	gate.co.uk	
Would you like to be made aware of fut		ure consultations or	n the new Local Plai	n? Yes	No	
About You: Gender						
	Ethnic Origin					
	Age	Under 16	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	
		45 - 54	55 - 64	65+		
	Where did you hear about this consultation e.g. radio, newspaper, word of mouth, exhibitions, parish council? Direct from WDC					

Part C - Commenting on the Indicative Settlement Boundaries

Sheet 1 of 1	
Which settlement are you responding to?	Cubbington
What is the nature of your representation?	Support Object
Please set out full details of your objection or representation of sup could be made to resolve your objection (use a separate sheet if n	
We strongly support the proposal to inset Cubbington from the Notwithstanding this we object to the exclusion of land around f to be appropriate for development to meet the needs of Cubbin settlement boundary should be redrawn to include land abutting Bungalows Farm itself.	Bungalows Farm which is considered elsewhere gton during the Plan period. The indicative

Sheet 1 of 1
Which part of the document are you responding to?
9 Page 2 Chapter 2.10 Paragraph Table or Figure Village Plan Village Plan Village Plan
What is the nature of your representation? Support Object
Please set out full details of your representation of support or objection. If objecting, please set out what changes could be made to resolve your objection (Use a separate sheet if necessary).
 The Council, in paragraph 2.10, has correctly identified the key village housing issues: The shortage of housing for older people to allow them to downsize into accommodation which will meet their longer term needs whilst remaining in their home settlements and therefore close to their existing support network; A shortage of smaller homes for local families to buy and rent resulting in an aging population which in turn reduces support for the local services and facilities (particularly schools, shops and bus services); and Often a shortage of larger family homes because the older residents are not able to downsize and free up the larger dwellings. We strongly support the Council's understanding of these problems which has led to the recognition of a need to direct new housing towards a range of more sustainable villages.

Sheet 1 of 1									
Which part of the document are you responding to?									
14 Page 3	Chapter			3.7	Paragraph				
Table or Figure	Village Plan								
What is the nature of your repr	resentation?		Support	Obje	ct				
Please set out full details of you could be made to resolve your				set out	what changes				
We support the recognition the also to the more sustainable new housing to these village document be addressed.	villages, wheth	er these lie within th	ne Green Belt or beyond	d it. It is	only by directing				

Sheet 1 of 1					
Which part of the documen	t are you respondi	ng to?			
21 Page	4 Chapter			4.10 Para	graph
Table or Figure	Village Plan				
What is the nature of your r	epresentation?		Support	Object	
Please set out full details of could be made to resolve y			-	ase set out what	changes
Whilst it is important that a not cause unacceptable h therefore support the Cou can be comfortably accom suggested figure of 1000. Notwithstanding this it is in Assessment indicates a sl light of the need to accom some of the appraisals to need. In this respect we w of Cubbington which has r	arm to the environ ncil's strategy of p modated, even if noted that the Cove lightly higher hous modate that additi assess whether a vould particularly b	ment (be that lands roviding only as much that means reducing entry and Warwicksh ing requirement for the onal requirement it w ny of the parcels cou- pring to your attentio	cape, flooding, Gree ch housing within an g the overall total bel nire Joint Strategic H the District than prev would be appropriate uld be successfully d on the suitability of ac	n Belt impact et d adjoining the low the previous lousing Market viously anticipate e for the Counci leveloped given	c). We villages as sly ed. In the I to revisit the greater

Sheet 1 of 1	
Which part of the document are you responding to?	
32 Page 6 Chapter 6.6 Parage	aph
Table or Figure Village Plan	
What is the nature of your representation? Support Object	
Please set out full details of your representation of support or objection. If objecting, please set out what c could be made to resolve your objection (Use a separate sheet if necessary).	hanges
The National Planning Policy Framework recognises that some development in the Green Belt is app and more particularly that development within existing settlements is not harmful to the overall purpor Green Belt and indeed can be helpful in ensuring the sustainability of rural settlements. In the light o appropriate for the more sustainable villages in the Green Belt to be removed from the Green Belt the establishment of village boundaries. We therefore support paragraph 6.6.	se of the f this it is
For Official Use Only	

Which part of the document are you responding to? 42 43 Page 7 Chapter Paragraph 44 Cubbington - Site 5 and adjoining land Village Plan Object What is the nature of your representation? Support Object Please set out full details of your representation of support or objection. If objecting, please set out what changes could be made to resolve your objection (Use a separate sheet if necessary). The Council has a strategy which requires the release of sites from the Green Belt to meet housing needs. These sites are stated to be required to meet the needs of individual settlements and also the needs arising from the Borough as a whole. Elsewhere we have strongly supported this strategy. In accordance with the NPPF the Council should release from the Green Belt and the purposes of including land which would have least harm on the openness of the Green Belt and the purposes of including land which would have least harm on the openness of the Green Belt and the purposes of including land which it. Cubbington is rightly categorised as a sustainable settlement due to its substantial range of local services and facilities and also the operative is not appear to have been considered dither in the Village Housing Options report or properly in the SHLAA. We object to these exclusions and request that land around Bungalow Farm, has been promoting it for development for some time (see attached drawing 6009-100). The land was considered in the 2012 SHLAA which concluded it was unsuitable for development as the voltage have showing the correct boundary, was submitted through the set had been unintentionally excluded which would otherwise have shown t	Sheet	1	of	3							
43 Page 7 Chapter Paragraph Cubbington – Site 5 and adjoining land Table or Figure Cubbington – Site 5 and adjoining land What is the nature of your representation? Support Object Please set out full details of your representation of support or objection. If objecting, please set out what changes could be made to resolve your objection (Use a separate sheet if necessary). The Council has a strategy which requires the release of sites from the Green Belt to meet housing needs. These sites are stated to be required to meet the needs of individual settlements and also the needs arising from the Borough as a whole. Elsewhere we have strongly supported this strategy. In accordance with the NPPF the Council should release from the Green Belt those sites which are sustainably located and which would have least harm on the openness of the Green Belt and the purposes of including land within it. Cubbington is rightly categorised as a sustainable settlement due to its substantial range of local services and facilities and also its opportunities for travel by public transport, particularly to Learnington Spa. It is appropriate that it accommodate additional housing to meet local and wider Warwick District housing needs. Site 5, land north of Bungalow Farm, has been discounted for development the village Housing Options report or properly in the SHLAA. We object to these exclusions and request that land around Bungalow Farm. Site 1000-2000 for development in the village Housing Options report or properly in the 2012 SHLAA which concluded it was unsuitable for development as it would lack cohesion' with the existing settlements. At that time a part of the site hab been unintentionally exclude w	· · · · ·										
Table or Figure Village Plan What is the nature of your representation? Support Object Please set out full details of your representation of support or objection. If objecting, please set out what changes could be made to resolve your objection (Use a separate sheet if necessary). The Council has a strategy which requires the release of sites from the Green Belt to meet housing needs. These sites are stated to be required to meet the needs of individual settlements and also the needs arising from the Borough as a whole. Elsewhere we have strongly supported this strategy. In accordance with the NPPF the Council should release from the Green Belt and the purposes of including land within it. Cubbington is rightly categorised as a sustainable settlement due to its substantial range of local services and facilities and also its opportunities for travel by public transport, particularly to Learnington Spa. It is appropriate that it accommodate additional housing to meet local and wider Warwick District housing needs. Site 5, land north of Bungalow Farm, has been discounted for development due to its alleged poor access and elevation. Additional land around Site 5 does not appear to have been considered either in the Village Housing Options report or properly in the SHLAA. We object to these exclusions and request that land around Bungalow Farm be allocated for development in the Village Housing Options paper. Sir Thomas White's Charity and King Henry VIII Endowed Trust together own a large swath of land between Cubbington and Lillington was available. A revised submission, showing the correct boundary, was submitted during the 2012 Preferred Options consultation but this seems not to have been acknowledged by the District Council. Moreover the Council has fail	4.0	Page			7	Chapter					Paragraph
 Please set out full details of your representation of support or objection. If objecting, please set out what changes could be made to resolve your objection (Use a separate sheet if necessary). The Council has a strategy which requires the release of sites from the Green Belt to meet housing needs. These sites are stated to be required to meet the needs of individual settlements and also the needs arising from the Borough as a whole. Elsewhere we have strongly supported this strategy. In accordance with the NPPF the Council should release from the Green Belt and the purposes of including land within it. Cubbington is rightly categorised as a sustainable settlement due to its substantial range of local services and facilities and also its opportunities for travel by public transport, particularly to Learnington Spa. It is appropriate that it accommodate additional housing to meet local and wider Warwick District housing needs. Site 5, land north of Bungalow Farm, has been discounted for development due to its alleged poor access and elevation. Additional land around Site 5 does not appear to have been considered either in the Village Housing Options report or properly in the SHLAA. We object to these exclusions and request that land around Bungalow Farm be allocated for development in the Village Housing Options paper. Sir Thomas White's Charity and King Henry VIII Endowed Trust together own a large swath of land between Cubbington and Lillington and have been promoting it for development for some time (see attached drawing 6009-100). The land was considered in the 2012 SHLAA which concluded it was unsuitable for development as it would therwise have shown that all the land between Cubbington and Lillington was available. A revised submission, showing the correct boundary, was submitted during the 2012 Prefered Optios consultation but this seems not to have been acknowledged by the District Council. Moreover the Council has failed to acknowledge that it would be appropriate t		Table or F	igure	e	Cub	-	5 and adjoining la	ıd			
 could be made to resolve your objection (Use a separate sheet if necessary). The Council has a strategy which requires the release of sites from the Green Belt to meet housing needs. These sites are stated to be required to meet the needs of individual settlements and also the needs arising from the Borough as a whole. Elsewhere we have strongly supported this strategy. In accordance with the NPPF the Council should release from the Green Belt and the purposes of including land within it. Cubbington is rightly categorised as a sustainable settlement due to its substantial range of local services and facilities and also its opportunities for travel by public transport, particularly to Leamington Spa. It is appropriate that it accommodate additional housing to meet local and wider Warwick District housing needs. Site 5, land north of Bungalow Farm, has been discounted for development due to its alleged poor access and elevation. Additional land around Site 5 does not appear to have been considered either in the Village Housing Options report or properly in the SHLAA. We object to these exclusions and request that land around Bungalow Farm be allocated for development in the Village Housing Options paper. Sir Thomas White's Charity and King Henry VIII Endowed Trust together own a large swath of land between Cubbington and Lillington and have been promoting it for development for some time (see attached drawing 6009-100). The land was considered in the 2012 SHLAA which concluded it was unsuitable for development as it would 'lack cohesion' with the existing settlements. At that time a part of the site had been unintentionally excluded which would otherwise have shown that all the land between Cubbington and Lillington was available. A revised submission, showing the correct boundary, was submitted during the 2012 Preferred Options consultation but this seems not to have been acknowledged by the District Council. Moreover the Council has failed to acknowledge that it woul	What	t is the nat	ture o	of your	repre	esentation?			Support	Obje	ct
These sites are stated to be required to meet the needs of individual settlements and also the needs arising from the Borough as a whole. Elsewhere we have strongly supported this strategy. In accordance with the NPPF the Council should release from the Green Belt those sites which are sustainably located and which would have least harm on the openness of the Green Belt and the purposes of including land within it. Cubbington is rightly categorised as a sustainable settlement due to its substantial range of local services and facilities and also its opportunities for travel by public transport, particularly to Leamington Spa. It is appropriate that it accommodate additional housing to meet local and wider Warwick District housing needs. Site 5, land north of Bungalow Farm, has been discounted for development due to its alleged poor access and elevation. Additional land around Site 5 does not appear to have been considered either in the Village Housing Options report or properly in the SHLAA. We object to these exclusions and request that land around Bungalow Farm be allocated for development in the Village Housing Options paper. Sir Thomas White's Charity and King Henry VIII Endowed Trust together own a large swath of land between Cubbington and Lillington and have been promoting it for development for some time (see attached drawing 6009-100). The land was considered in the 2012 SHLAA which concluded it was unsuitable for development as it would 'lack cohesion' with the existing settlements. At that time a part of the site had been unintentionally excluded which would otherwise have shown that all the land between Cubbington and Lillington was available. A revised submission, showing the correct boundary, was submitted during the 2012 Preferred Options consultation but this seems not to have been acknowledged by the District Council. Moreover the Council has failed to acknowledge that it would be appropriate to consider allocating just part of the land to meet the housing needs of Cubbington. It is considered									-	ease set out	what changes
	The from NPF wou Cub facil that Site elev Opti Farr Sir T Cub 6009 it wo excl A re cons faile need • facil A re cons faile need • can facil adjo adjo l adjo adjo l adjo adjo adjo l adjo adjo l adjo adjo adjo l adjo adjo l adjo adjo adjo adjo adjo l adjo adjo adjo l adjo l adjo adjo l adjo l adjo l adjo l adjo l adjo adjo l adjo adjo adjo adjo l adj adjo l adj adj adj adjo c adj adjo adj adj adj adjo adj adj adj C adjo adj adj adj adjo adj adj adj adjo a a a a a a adj adjo adjo	se sites and the Boro PF the Cou- ld have le- bington is ities and a it accomm 5, land no ation. Ad ons report n be alloc Thomas W bington an 9-100). T build 'lack of uded white vised sub sultation b d to ackno ds of Cub- consider i ds of Cub	re st uncil ast I also noda orth o ditio t or p cated Vhite adjo t or p cated Vhite lacohe che la cohe che la cohe che la so ut th owle bing bing t app bing bing cato che bing cohe che bing cohe che che che che che che che che che c	ated to as a w should harm o tily cate its opp ate add of Bung nal lan oroperl for de 's Chai illingtor and wa esion' w ould ot sion, sh is seen dge tha ton. It ining B ithout r rt state o facilita was ide ton wh oblingto	be r hole. I release ortur itiona galow d arc y in t velop rity a n and s cor vith tl herw howir ms n at it v te foo is co dunga veliar men ate a entificient velop rity a and s cor vith tl herw howir ms n at t v velop rity a s cor vith tl herw howir n and s cor vith th herw howir n and s cor vith th herw howir n and s cor n and s cor and s cor n and s cor s cor n and s cor s cor s cor s cor s cor s cor s cor s cor s cor s cor and s cor s cor	required to mee . Elsewhere w ease from the C e openness of t ised as a sustant hities for travel al housing to m w Farm, has be bund Site 5 doe the SHLAA. W oment in the Vi and King Henry d have been pr nsidered in the he existing set vise have been pr nsidered in the to have been pr nsidered in the be correct to a larger site to nsidered suitant alow Farm is w nce on the priva- t has previousla a residential de ed in the Coun- was 'least cons- buld not therefor	et the needs of ind e have strongly su Green Belt those s he Green Belt and inable settlement of by public transpor- neet local and wide een discounted for es not appear to have a object to these of llage Housing Opt VIII Endowed Tru omoting it for deve 2012 SHLAA which dements. At that t in that all the land boundary, was sub in acknowledged b opriate to consider man Site 5 be alloc oble for the followin ell related to the s ate car; y been submitted velopment, by inse- cil's previous Joint trained' in terms o ore have a signification	ividua pporte ites will the p due to t, parti er War devel ave be exclus ions p st toge ch con ime a betwe mittec y the l alloca ated f g reas ettlem to the erting a Gree f Gree	al settlements ar ed this strategy. hich are sustain purposes of inclu- o its substantial icularly to Learn rwick District ho lopment due to een considered sions and reque- baper. ether own a larg ent for some tim ncluded it was u part of the site een Cubbington d during the 201 District Council. ating just part of for development sons: nent of Cubbing Council which a a roundabout cl en Belt Study as en Belt impact.	nd also the In accord hably locate uding land range of loc hington Spa busing need its alleged either in the st that land ge swath of ne (see attainsuitable for had been u and Lillingt 2 Preferred Moreover the land to to meet so ton and the shows that lose to the Developme	needs arising ance with the ed and which within it. cal services and a. It is appropriate ds. poor access and e Village Housing around Bungalow f land between ached drawing or development as unintentionally ton was available. d Options the Council has o meet the housing ome of the housing adequate access junction with only parcel ent on part of the

- If only part of the land were to be developed there would be no coalescence with Lillington. Proper boundary treatments would form a permanent, defensible boundary, and would improve the current situation;
- The land closest to Bungalows Farm does not score highly in landscape value terms and thus could be developed without harm to the wider landscape. Indeed because of its location between Lillington and Cubbington it arguably has less impact on the wider landscape than development on the other edges of the village;
- The land proposed for development does not suffer from flooding;
- There are no known ecological constraints to development.

Overall the land extending from the junction with Queen Street around to the school should be reconsidered for allocation to meet housing need. In addition to meeting the needs arising from Cubbington this would help meet the wider needs of Warwick District, which appear likely to be increased following the receipt of the Coventry and Warwickshire Strategic housing Market Assessment.

