

Gypsy and Travellers Site Options Response to Site GT02

Land abutting the Fosse Way at its junction with the A425

For Official Use Only

Ref:

10709

Rep. Ref.

Title MR

First name/initials STEVE

Surname WALKER

Address

Telephone

Criteria by which Gypsy and Traveller's sites will be judged for suitability and sustainability by Warwick District Council:

- Convenient access to a GP surgery, school and public transport;
- Avoiding areas with a high risk of flooding;
- * Safe access to the road network and provision for parking, turning and servicing on site; *
- * Provision of utilities (running water, toilet facilities waste disposal etc);
- Avoiding areas where there could be an adverse impact on important features of the natural and historic environment; and,
- Sites which can be integrated into the landscape without harming the character of the area.
- * Promotes peaceful and integrated co-existence between the site and the local community; *
- Avoids placing undue pressure on local infrastructure and services;
- Reflects the extent to which traditional lifestyles (whereby some travellers live and work from the same location thereby omitting many travel to work journeys) can contribute to sustainability.

IT IS IMPORTANT to comment/object adhering to the above criteria and the council provided form follows that process. It is implied that you should use the WDC form or the response form available online. YOU ARE HOWEVER STILL PERMITTED to respond by writing to the Council's Development Policy Manager or you could choose to use this site specific form prepared for GT 02

I OBJECT

I APPROVE

(Please delete as required.)

Please set out full details of your objection or representation of support with reference to the criteria as outlined by the council.

The proposal of this site will not achieve many of the criteria as set out above, particularly the two I have marked.

The ideal site already exists on the Bankbury Road from Warwick, near The Asps farm. The site already has an access road, it is level, away from risk of flooding etc. It is sign posted as a caravan site

but the development has stagnated over the years and SHOULD BE PUT TO USE for these people!

As council tax payers, we have already paid for the work put into this site, why must we pay again for a similar development elsewhere?

Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary