

LOCAL PLAN

helpingshapethedistrict

WDC PLANNING
Ref
Officer
25 JUL 2013
SCANNED
CC CR PD MA
PRE GEN DIS



For Official Use Only
Ref: 852
Rep. Ref.

Revised Development Strategy Response Form 2013

Please use this form if you wish to support or object to the Local Plan - Revised Development Strategy.

If you are commenting on multiple sections of the document you will need to complete a separate copy of Part B of this form for each representation.

This form may be photocopied or, alternatively, extra forms can be obtained from the Council's offices or places where the plan has been made available (see back page). You can also respond online using the LDF Consultation System, visit: www.warwickdc.gov.uk/newlocalplan

Part A - Personal Details

	1. Personal Details	2. Agent's Details (if applicable)
Title	Mr.	
First Name	RICHARD	
Last Name	EVANS	
Job Title (where relevant)		
Organisation (where relevant)		
Address Line 1	[REDACTED]	
Address Line 2		
Address Line 3		
Address Line 4		
Postcode		
Telephone number		
Email address		
Would you like to be made aware of...		
About You: Gender		
Ethnic Origin		
Age		
Where did you hear about this consultation e.g. radio, newspaper, word of mouth, exhibitions, bin hanger?	NEWSPAPER	

Part B - Commenting on the Revised Development Strategy

If you are commenting on multiple sections of the document you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation

Sheet of

Which part of the document are you responding to?

5-4

Paragraph number / Heading / Subheading (if relevant)

Map (e.g. Proposed Development Sites - District Wide)

What is the nature of your representation?

Support



Object

Please set out full details of your objection or representation of support. If objecting, please set out what changes could be made to resolve your objection (Use a separate sheet if necessary).

THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT FOR KENIL WORTH IS OUT OF SCALE, AND OUT OF CHARACTER WITH THE EXISTING PROPERTIES IN BIRCHES LANE AND GLASSHOUSE LANE. MANY OF THESE EXISTING ^{HOUSES} ARE LARGE PLOTS WITH LARGE SPACES BETWEEN THEM. THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT WILL CHANGE THE CHARACTER OF THE NEIGHBOURHOOD AND IMPAIR EXISTING VIEWS AS WELL AS GIVING RISE TO MORE NOISE AND POLLUTION. A HUGE NEW ESTATE WILL ADVERSELY AFFECT THE VISUAL ATTRACTION OF THE AREA AND PUT ADDITIONAL STRAIN ON THE ALREADY STRETCHED INFRASTRUCTURE. THE LOSS OF TWO ESTABLISHED SPORTING GROUNDS (KRFC - MAIN GROUND AND COWPATCH AND WARDENS) WILL BE A DEVASTATING LOSS TO THIS

For Official Use Only

Ref:

Rep. Ref.

Part B - Commenting on the Revised Development Strategy

If you are commenting on multiple sections of the document, you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation

Sheet of

Which part of the document are you responding to?

S-4

Paragraph number / Heading / Subheading (if relevant)

Map (e.g. Proposed Development Sites - District Wide)

What is the nature of your representation?

Support

Object

Please set out full details of your objection or representation of support. If objecting, please set out what changes could be made to resolve your objection (use a separate sheet if necessary).

QUARTER OF KENILWORTH

THE LOSS OF SUCH A HUGE AMOUNT OF GREEN BELT LAND ALL IN ONE AREA ~~KNOW~~ OF A SMALL TOWN IS OUT OF PROPORTION WHEN ALTERNATIVES ARE AVAILABLE CHANGES

IT IS VERY SIMPLE. DISTRIBUTE THE REQUIRED HOUSING MORE EVENLY AROUND THE TOWN. I REALISE USING SEVERAL SITES MAY REQUIRE MORE WORK BY THE PLANNERS AND WOULD ~~REDUCE~~ REDUCE THE AMOUNT THE DEVELOPERS WOULD PAY UNDER THE COMMUNITY INFRASTRUCTURE LEVY BUT THIS WOULD BE A SMALL PRICE TO ~~ANY~~ PAY FOR A MORE EVENLY BALANCED DEVELOPMENT.

For Official Use Only

Ref:

Rep. Ref.

Part B - Commenting on the Revised Development Strategy

If you are commenting on multiple sections of the document, you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation

Sheet of

Which part of the document are you responding to?

KENILWORTH - SPACE & PLAY AREAS

Paragraph number / Heading / Subheading (if relevant)

Map (e.g. Proposed Development Sites - District Wide)

What is the nature of your representation?

Support

Object

Please set out full details of your objection or representation of support. If objecting, please set out what changes could be made to resolve your objection (use a separate sheet if necessary).

THE AREA CHOSEN FOR DEVELOPMENT INCLUDES THREE EXISTING SPORTS GROUNDS (WARDEN C.C., KRFC MAIN GROUND AND COW PATCH AS WELL AS EXTENSIVE GREEN BELT. GIVEN THE LOSS OF THE ABOVE AND THE LACK OF ANY PLAY AREAS NEARBY PLEASE ENSURE A SIZEABLE PARK IS INCLUDED IN THE MIDDLE OF THE DEVELOPMENT AND NOT AT ITS EXTREMES SINCE IT SHOULD BE WITHIN EASY REACH OF ALL NEW HOUSES

For Official Use Only

Ref:

Rep. Ref.

Part B - Commenting on the Business Development Strategy

If you are commenting on multiple sections of the strategy, you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation.

Sheet 1 of 1

REPRESENTATION - STATE & LOCAL AGENCIES

With part of an agreement for representation...
The area...
Support...
could be made to resolve your objection...

THE AREA...
THREE EXISTING...
KFC MAIN...
AS EXTENSIVE...
GIVEN THE...
CE AND...
TO...
MIDDLE...
EXTREMES...
PATCH OF...
TO BE WITHIN...

Part B - Commenting on the Revised Development Strategy

If you are commenting on multiple sections of the document, you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation

Sheet of

Which part of the document are you responding to?

Paragraph number / Heading / Subheading (if relevant)

Map (e.g. Proposed Development Sites – District Wide)

What is the nature of your representation? Support Object

Please set out full details of your objection or representation of support. If objecting, please set out what changes could be made to resolve your objection (use a separate sheet if necessary).

PUTTING ALL KENILWORTH'S DEVELOPMENT IN ONE AREA IS AN EXTREME POLICY. A MORE BALANCED POLICY ^{OF USING SEVERAL SITES} WOULD ALLOW FOR EASIER INTEGRATION INTO THE TOWN. I UNDERSTAND DEVELOPERS ARE WILLING TO CONTRIBUTE RELATIVELY MORE THE GREATER THE CONCENTRATION OF SITES.

I DO NOT BELIEVE THIS FINANCIAL INDUCEMENT SHOULD PLAY ANY PART IN PLANNING POLICY.

~~BUT GIVEN THE SINGLE SITE CHOSEN WHEN OTHERS ARE AVAILABLE IT IS DIFFICULT TO COME TO ANY OTHER CONCLUSION~~