



## Stoneleigh & Ashow Joint Parish Council

Clerk: Mrs P. A. Maddison

Development Policy Manager  
Warwick District Council  
Riverside House  
Milverton Hill  
Leamington Spa  
CV32 5HZ

25<sup>nd</sup> July 2013

Dear Sirs

WDC PLANNING
Ref
Officer
26 JUL 2013
SCANNED
CC CR PD MA
PRE GEN DIS

### **Sites for Gypsies and Travellers 2013**

The proposed Gypsy and traveller sites in Baginton referred to as GT01 & GT07 are inappropriate development in the Green Belt and they are not sustainable development.

Among the many reasons why the sites numbered GT01 and GT07 are unsuitable include;

The proposed sites in Baginton parish are within the Green Belt and are not within easy reach of local facilities such as doctors, schools, hospitals etc. In addition, there is only a limited bus service making access to employment difficult. This means that these sites do not satisfy the following points in the document: -

Paragraph 2.6 of the consultation document refers to 'areas of search' selected by officers within which could be potential for a Gypsy and Traveller site, outside the Green Belt, close to the road network and within easy reach of local facilities (schools and doctors etc).

Paragraph 5.2 says the Government guidance is: -  
to enable provision of suitable accommodation from which travellers can access education, health, welfare and employment infrastructure  
that plan-making and decision-taking should protect Green Belt from inappropriate development

Paragraph 7.3 refers to the criteria by which sites would be assessed for suitability:  
The Council will bring forward site(s) under a Gypsy and Traveller Sites Allocation Development Plan Document, using the following policy criteria:  
Convenient access to a GP surgery, school, and public transport;

Recently, the Government has decided to change the appeals recovery criteria by adding proposals for Traveller sites in the Green Belt. That announcement was made by Local Government Minister Brandon Lewis in the Commons.

He told MPs: "Having considered recent planning decisions by councils and the Planning Inspectorate, it has become apparent that, in some cases, the Green Belt is not always being given the sufficient protection that was the explicit policy intent of ministers.

"The Secretary of State wishes to make clear that, in considering planning applications, although each case will depend on its facts, he considers that the single issue of unmet demand, whether for Traveller sites or for conventional housing, is unlikely to outweigh harm to the Green Belt and other harm to constitute the 'very special circumstances' justifying inappropriate development in the Green Belt."

The minister added; "For the avoidance of doubt, this does not mean that all such appeals will be recovered, but that the Secretary of State will likely recover a number of appeals in order to test the relevant policies at national level. The SoS will apply this criteria for a period of six months, after which it will be reviewed."

In a separate but related move, Mr Lewis also announced that the Coalition was cancelling the last administration's practice guidance 'Diversity and equality in planning' issued by the Office of the Deputy Prime Minister in 2005.

In a written Ministerial Statement by Local Government Minister Brandon Lewis Originally given at House of Commons he stated that;

The coalition government has taken a series of steps to ensure fair play in the planning system. We have tackled the abuse of planning rules by a small minority, abolished top-down targets, increased protection of the green belt and aligned the planning system for traveller sites with that for settled housing.

The policy document, Planning policy for traveller sites, was issued in March 2012. It makes clear that both temporary and permanent traveller sites are inappropriate development in the green belt and that planning decisions should protect green belt land from such inappropriate development.

As set out in that document and in March 2012's National Planning Policy Framework, inappropriate development in the green belt should not be approved except in very special circumstances. Having considered recent planning decisions by councils and the Planning Inspectorate, it has become apparent that, in some cases, the green belt is not always being given the sufficient protection that was the explicit policy intent of ministers.

The Secretary of State wishes to make clear that, in considering planning applications, although each case will depend on its facts, he considers that the single issue of unmet demand, whether for traveller sites or for conventional housing, is unlikely to outweigh harm to the green belt and other harm to constitute the 'very special circumstances' justifying inappropriate development in the green belt.

The Secretary of State wishes to give particular scrutiny to traveller site appeals in the green belt, so that he can consider the extent to which Planning policy for traveller sites is meeting this government's clear policy intentions. To this end he is hereby revising the appeals recovery criteria issued on 30 June 2008 and will consider for recovery appeals involving traveller sites in the green belt.

Under the last administration's flawed rules, a sense of unfairness was embedded in the planning system. Unauthorised developments created tensions between travellers and the settled population, whilst some community groups seemingly were given favoured treatment. That