
LOCALPLAN helpingshapethedistrict



6209

Preferred Options Response Form

2012




Please use this form if you wish to support or object to the Preferred Options version of the new Local Plan.

If you are commenting on multiple sections of the document you will need to complete a separate copy of Part B of this form for each representation.

This form may be photocopied or, alternatively, extra forms can be obtained from the Council's offices or places where the plan has been made available for members of the public. You can also respond online using the LDF Consultation System, visit: www.warwickdc.gov.uk/newlocalplan

Part A - Personal Details



Part B - Commenting on the Preferred Options

Ref:

ou are commentinç presentation	g on multiple sections of t	the document you will need to complete a separate sheet for each
eet) of		
. 6. /	e you responding to? ns (Booklet) Preferred Optic	ons (Full VERSION) FULL VERSION
Vhich part of the do	ocument are you respondi	ng to?
referred Option Box	x (e.g. PO1)	
aragraph number I	Heading / Subheading (if	relevant)
Map (e.g. Preferred [Development Sites - Whol	le District)
Vhat is the nature o	of your representation?	Support Object
		epresentation of support. If objecting, please set out what changes
ould be made to re	solve your objection (Use	a separate sheet if necessary).
©		
Please	see attac	hed downent.

Rep. Ref.

OBJECTONS TO THE PREFERRED OPTION IN THE LOCAL PLAN

- 1 Our objection is to the very substantial amount of development which is envisaged in the Green Belt area, notably in Blackdown (1,170 new houses) and in the area north of Milverton (810 new houses). This would have a very substantial and probably permanent effect on our local community, depriving forthcoming generations of the legacy benefits which older residents have enjoyed.
- 2 As is well known, section 9 of the National Planning Policy Framework of March 2012 emphasises the great importance which the Government attaches to Green Belts and the purposes which they serve (paras 79 and 80). It also states that, once established, Green Belt boundaries should be altered only in 'exceptional circumstances' (para 83). Further, local planning authorities 'should regard the construction of new building as inappropriate in Green Belt', except in certain specified circumstances listed in paragraph 89 (e.g. buildings for agriculture and forestry), which do not include the type of substantial encroachment on the Green Belt which the preferred option envisages.
- 3 The requirement of demonstrating 'exceptional circumstances' such as to justify encroachment on Green Belt land through a new local plan sets a high hurdle. At the very least, it envisages that all other alternatives have been carefully considered and found to be wanting as a means of achieving the overall goal. In our opinion, the lengthy full document written in support of the preferred option (all of which we have read) does not come close to demonstrating that the building of an annual average of 600 new houses in the period running up to 2029 can be achieved only by building on Green Belt land. In particular, there is little evidence that the target could not be achieved by building further houses in the south of Leamington with its relatively easy access to the motorway network. Indeed, several such areas (e.g. Heathcote) have been recognised by the Council as being suitable for development. Anecdotal 'evidence' suggests that it is believed that builders would not be interested in or able to deliver all of that which is claimed to be necessary within that area and time-frame. Obviously, such an untested belief would be insufficient to establish the 'exceptional circumstances' which must be present in order to justify the plan.
- 4 Our particular concern is with the proposal to build a combined total of almost 2,000 houses in the Blackdown area and the area north of Milverton in the period running from 2019 to 2024/29. To this one must add the full range of supporting buildings and associated works. However, our objection is of more general application. It is further enhanced by the increased road and parking infrastructure which would be needed to support the plan, some of which would also encroach on Green Belt land.
- 5 A further related concern is that the proposals in the preferred option may be expected to lead in the medium term to the merging together of Leamington and Kenilworth and the eventual loss of their separate and distinctive identities, This will be facilitated by the proposed building of a dual carriageway along the A 452 which would do little more than shift the current back up of traffic in rush hours from one roundabout to another and barely more than a mile further along the road. This seems an absurd waste of public money. The plan is, in our opinion, deeply and irredeemably flawed.

6 Please note that this submission is written jointly and separate forms are included.

Professor CJ and Mrs MMJ Miller

Part B - Commenting on the Preferred Options

ou are commenting on moresentation	ultiple sections of the document you will need to c	complete a separate sneet for each
eet of		
Vhich document are you .g. Preferred Options (Boo	responding to? oklet) Preferred Options (Full Version)	
Which part of the docume referred Option Box (e.g.	nt are you responding to? PO1)	
aragraph number / Heac	ling / Subheading (if relevant)	
Nap (e.g. Preferred Develo	opment Sites - Whole District)	
What is the nature of you	representation? Supp	oort Object
rlease set out full details of could be made to resolve	of your objection or representation of support. If objection (Use a separate sheet if necessary).	jecting, please set out what changes
	·	