

Preferred Options Response Form

2012

For Official Use Only

Ref: 5919

Rep. Ref.

Please use this form if you wish to support or object to the Preferred Options version of the new Local Plan.

If you are commenting on multiple sections of the document you will need to complete a separate copy of Part B of this form for each representation.

This form may be photocopied or, alternatively, extra forms can be obtained from the Council's offices or places where the plan has been made available for members of the public. You can also respond online using the LDF Consultation System, visit: www.warwickdc.gov.uk/newlocalplan

Part A - Personal Details

	1. Personal Details	2. Agent's Details (if applicable)
Title	Lieut. Colonel.	
First Name	RAY	
Last Name	OAKLEY	
Job Title (where relevant)	—	
Organisation (where relevant)	—	
Address Line 1	[REDACTED]	
Address Line 2	[REDACTED]	
Address Line 3		
Address Line 4		
Postcode	[REDACTED]	
Telephone number	[REDACTED]	
Email address	[REDACTED]	
Would you like to be made aware of future consultations on the new Local Plan?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
About You: Gender	[REDACTED]	
Ethnic Origin	[REDACTED]	
Age	[REDACTED]	

Part B - Commenting on the Preferred Options

If you are commenting on multiple sections of the document you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation

Sheet of

Which document are you responding to?
e.g. Preferred Options (Booklet) Preferred Options (Full Version)

SUMMARY (Booklet)

Which part of the document are you responding to?
Preferred Option Box (e.g. PO1)

PO 4

Paragraph number / Heading / Subheading (if relevant)

Preferred Options

Map (e.g. Preferred Development Sites - Whole District)

Items 4, 6 + 13 pages 7+8

What is the nature of your representation?

Support Object

Please set out full details of your objection or representation of support. If objecting, please set out what changes could be made to resolve your objection (Use a separate sheet if necessary).

See attached letter (2 pages)
dated 26th July 2012

For Official Use Only

Ref.

Rep. Ref.

[REDACTED]

Development Policy Manager,
Development services,
Warwick District Council,
Riverside House,
Milverton Hill,
Leamington Spa, CV32 5QH

26 July 2012

Dear Sir / Madam,

Local Plan, Preferred Options, Summary - booklet

I am a chartered architect & chartered surveyor now living at the above address in Leamington Spa. I have tried unsuccessfully to complete a response form on-line, so I am resorting by a hand written response form & this typed letter. I am responding to section PO4 in your summary booklet which shows the distribution of your preferred options for the location of development with comments as follows:

Item 4 Miverton Gardens & item 5 Blackdown

The provision of about 2,000 houses in this area would change the whole character of the area, so that those living on the edge of the countryside would then find themselves living in an urban area. Although it is suggested that the development will provide employment opportunities in that locality, the possibility of those living in that area fully matching the skills of those jobs is unlikely. As the bulk of the existing industrial estates are located south of Leamington Spa, housing developments located in north Leamington are likely to greatly increase the cross town traffic. The location of more housing near to those estates in the south, as proposed in items 2 & 3, would seem to be a better solution.

Item 6 This is the development South of Sydenham & east of Whitnash:

The accompanying plan identifies the access route through the realigned Campion School, which would be off the existing large roundabout near to the Asda store. This is both a sensible & appropriate solution in terms of the location of the access route. However a planning application is currently being considered which ignores the access criteria identified there. To realign the whole school may not be necessary & is possibly not financially viable to a developer. However it is important that the location of the access route for this major housing development via the school

grounds is essential & should be reinforced in the local plan as the only acceptable solution. This would prevent a major increase in traffic movements through the existing Mill Pool Meadows estate along St. Fremund Way, as this is the only other access route to the proposed new development. If not prevented this would undoubtedly create unacceptable hazards to pedestrians & vehicles along this road.

Item 13 Category 1 villages

I support this proposal but would suggest that the number of houses proposed is made more flexible, say 500 – 800, with the actual number & location dependent on consultations with the parish councils. From a feasibility study carried out some years ago, relating to Bishops Tachbrook, one of the three villages identified, I recall that there were a wide variety of housing needs in that village, where young & old people felt forced to move away from the village, where they had always lived, because of the shortage of appropriate & affordable housing.

Yours sincerely,



Ray Oakley, MSc, RIBA, FRICS
Lieut Colonel