

# LOCALPLAN

helpingshapethedistrict



## Village Housing Options Response Form 2013


For Official Use Only  
Ref: 3653  
Rep. Ref.

Please use this form if you wish to support or object to the Village Housing Options and Settlement Boundaries.

If you are commenting on multiple sections of the document you will need to complete a separate copy of either Part B and/or Part C of this form for each representation.

This form may be photocopied or, alternatively, extra forms can be obtained from the Council's offices or places where the plan has been made available (see back page). You can also respond online using the LDF Consultation System visit: [www.warwickdc.gov.uk/newlocalplan](http://www.warwickdc.gov.uk/newlocalplan)

### Part A - Personal Details



	1. Personal Details	2. Agent's Details (if applicable)
Title	Mrs	
First Name	Sue	
Last Name	ROGERS	
Job Title (where relevant)		
Address Line 1		
Address Line 2		
Address Line 3		
Address Line 4		
Postcode		
Telephone number		
Email address		
Would you like to be made aware of other opportunities?		
About You: Gender		
Ethnic Origin		
Age		
Where did you hear about this consultation e.g. radio, newspaper, word of mouth, exhibitions, parish council?	LOCAL LEAFLET	

# Part B - Commenting on the Village Housing Options

If you are commenting on multiple sections of the document you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation

Sheet  of

Which part of the document are you responding to?

Page       Chapter       Paragraph  
 Table or Figure       Village Plan

What is the nature of your representation?

Support       Object

Please set out full details of your representation of support or objection. If objecting, please set out what changes could be made to resolve your objection (Use a separate sheet if necessary).

I approve of some increase in the number of houses in Burton Green but it is unfair to burden the village with 75 additional houses, a 28% increase. I understand this is the greatest increase in the county. I suggest 20 could be absorbed into the village without greatly altering its character.

I disapprove of the preferred option, Option 1 because:

1. It is completely out of keeping with the character of the village to impose such a large block of houses in one small area.
2. It will isolate newcomers, make integration into the community much more difficult and destroy the cohesiveness of the village.
3. It is the highest site of those proposed and would have the most landscape impact of all the options.
4. It will greatly increase traffic congestion in that local area.
5. It is very close to the proposed HS2 railway and would receive the blast from the southern portal of the tunnel.

I would suggest that sites 2, 5, 6 and 7 should be considered to spread the increased number of houses throughout the village, also maybe the land at the bottom half of Red Lane (Birmingham Road area). This would cause the least landscape impact of all the options and have least effect on traffic. Access has been mentioned as a problem but developers will purchase land/houses to provide the necessary entrance. The village could also be connected to the remainder of Hob Lane with the presence of a few houses.

For Official Use Only

Ref:

Rep. Ref.

# Part C - Commenting on the Indicative Settlement Boundaries

If you are commenting on multiple sections of the document, you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation

Sheet  of

Which settlement are you responding to?

What is the nature of your representation?

Support

Object

Please set out full details of your objection or representation of support. If objecting, please set out what changes could be made to resolve your objection (use a separate sheet if necessary).

For Official Use Only

Ref:

Rep. Ref.