LOCALPLAN helpingshapethedistrict

1 2 JUL 2013

Officer

WEC PLANNING

SCANNED CC CR PD MA WARWICK DISTRICT COUNCIL

PRE GEN DIS For Official Use Only

Ref. 7679.

Revised Development Strategy Response Form 2013

Please use this form if you wish to support or object to the Local Plan - Revised Development Strategy.

If you are commenting on multiple sections of the document you will need to complete a separate copy of Part B of this form for each representation.

This form may be photocopied or, alternatively, extra forms can be obtained from the Council's offices or places where the plan has been made available (see back page). You can also respond online using the LDF Consultation System, visit: www.warwickdc.gov.uk/newlocalplan

Part A - Personal Details

	1. Personal Details	2. Agent's Details (if applicable
Title	Rev	STEP OF THE WASHINGTON
First Name	GERALD	t sul les montes et al.
Last Name	TANNER	Minds Shangery althou
Job Title (where relevant)		
Organisation (where relevant)		
Address Line 1		
Address Line 2		
Address Line 3		
Address Line 4		
Postcode		
Telephone number		
Email address		
Would you like to be made aware		
About You: Gender		
Ethnic Origin		
Age		
Where did you hear about this cons	ultation e.g. radio, newspaper, w	vord of mouth, exhibitions, bin hanger?
EXHIBITION		

Part B - Commenting on the Revised Development Strategy

If you are commenting on multiple sections of the document you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation

Sheet of I	
Which part of the document are you responding to?	Village Allerations - King swoo
Paragraph number / Heading / Subheading (if relevant)	page 2 of Summary document
Map (e.g. Proposed Development Sites – District Wide)	at to another a gold management of the control of t
What is the nature of your representation?	Support Object

Please set out full details of your objection or representation of support. If objecting, please set out what changes could be made to resolve your objection (Use a separate sheet if necessary).

- 1. To Lapworth's Category 1 status. This is inappropriate and faile to take into account the true nature of on supposed in fra structure links & facilities.
- 2. To the proposal to bill up to 150 homes. The following objections can be raised:
 - a) It would amount to a disproportionate increase in the Size of the village
 - b). It would put an unrealistre strain on the current infrastructure
 - c). It would add to the total amount of housing stock currently on the market and not selling.
- 3. To the redesignation of the proposal of King swood instead of hapmath. This is both unfair ad dissive. It is also vague as the exact area denoted as King rurood is impressive & also covers more than one administrative area.

For	Official	Use	Only
-----	----------	-----	------